

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 Case No. 1-16-40809-ess
4 Adv. Case No. 1-17-01005-ess

5 - - - - - x

6 In the Matter of:

7

8 TASHANNA B. GOLDEN,

9

10 Debtor.

11 - - - - - x

12 TASHANNA B. GOLDEN,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 NATIONAL COLLEGIATE TRUST et al.,

16 Defendants.

17 - - - - - x

18

19

20 United States Bankruptcy Court

21 271-C Cadman Plaza East

22 Brooklyn, NY 11201

23

24 April 9, 2018

25 11:24 AM

Page 2

1 **B E F O R E :**
2 **HON ELIZABETH S. STONG**
3 **U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**
4
5 **ECRO: FANNY RANDAZZO**
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 this would be an opt-out Rule 23 class; is that right?

2 MR. FARRELL: I believe that's the way it's been
3 structured.

4 THE COURT: And so isn't it also perhaps a
5 question of defining what are the common issues that would
6 be decided on a class basis if we get to the question of
7 class certification?

8 MR. FARRELL: Well, absolutely, that's sort of
9 department. And if we do get to class certification, you're
10 going to hear from us in detail that there are mostly
11 individualized issues here that are not susceptible to class
12 certification.

13 THE COURT: Certainly, damages would be something
14 to think about very closely.

15 MR. FARRELL: Damages among others. Another key
16 issue, Your Honor, would be this whole cost of attendance.
17 The whole premise of the Plaintiff's case here is that these
18 loans exceeded the cost of attendance; and, therefore, don't
19 fit within the statutory scheme. And whether or not a
20 particular loan at a particular school for a particular
21 borrower exceeded the cost of attendance is a highly
22 individualized question.

23 THE COURT: Aren't there lots of class actions
24 that are resolved with through the process, whether it's a
25 settlement or a decision, where in a second step, the

1 individual unnamed class members need to show their
2 entitlement to relief and how much the relief should be from
3 a common fund? It's not an unusual procedure, I don't
4 think.

5 MR. FARRELL: It is not an unusual procedure. But
6 the ultimate question will be, on the facts of this case,
7 whether the individualized questions predominate over the
8 common questions. And certainly, you can have a class where
9 common question predominate, but there would be some level
10 of individuality that could be handled the way the Court
11 suggests, where the individualized questions predominate.
12 And we believe that, here, we don't think class
13 certification is appropriate.

14 The other point I would make about Anderson, Your
15 Honor, is that it was obviously a hotly contested case.
16 There were competing opinions out of bankruptcy courts going
17 both ways on the question. The time period for filing a
18 cert of petition in Anderson has not yet passed. And so, we
19 don't, as we sit here today, stand here today, we don't know
20 that the Second Circuit decision is the final word on
21 Anderson.

22 THE COURT: No, but it is the Second Circuit,
23 where we are.

24 MR. FARRELL: Absolutely. But my only point is,
25 Your Honor, we believe there's going to be further